

Comment on the Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement

EIS000480

I agree with the following statements which I have checked:



RECEIVED

DEC 28 1999

- 1 ☒ The No Action Alternatives are not reasonable. The EIS should have a reasonable no action alternative.
- 2 ☒ The EIS is inadequate because it uses outdated 1990 census data rather than current population data for Nevada.
- 3 ☒ The analysis of transportation impacts in Nevada is insufficient for making modal, corridor and route decisions.
- 4 ☒ The floodplain analysis is insufficient for corridor and route selection
- 5 ☒ The impact of stigma on tourism, recreation and agriculture based economies in Nevada should be analyzed.
- 6 ☒ The EIS should analyze the impacts of a crash between a military airplane and a nuclear waste rail car.

Comments:

The Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement includes an option to construct a rail line from Beowawe to Yucca Mountain through Crescent Valley to transport nuclear waste to Yucca Mountain. DOE is required to consider all comments submitted regarding the impacts of building and operating a repository including transportation. My comments for the record are:

- 7 Why Don't you fly the stuff into Yucca Mountain so that
you don't expose so many people to the nuclear waste? By rail or
truck, you expose so many people all over the 48 states to radiation,
are we expendable?
- 9 You will ruin a beautiful state and more to the point,
you will ruin Crescent Valley, my home, CARICO LAKE RANCH.
And our range where we run Cattle. We make our living
on Cattle, and have since 1871. We don't have any
other income and you would make our ranch worthless!
- 8 Whatever happened to the "Constitution"? Shouldn't
the people who live here in Nevada have the final
say about what happens to us? Don't we Cereent
for anything? What ever happened to government people's
sense of right and wrong?

Billie Filippini

(1)